



## UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

ddress: C

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST	NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/823,657	03/30/01	WELCH		W	2307E-065021	
— 020350			·	EXAMINER		
U2U350 HM12/0810 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW			1.0	LEARY, L		
TWO EMBARCAD EIGHTH FLOOR	ERO CENTER			ART UN	<del></del>	
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834				1623	2	
			•	DATE MAIL	ED: 08/10/01	
					08/10/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



## Office Action Summary

T	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/823,657	 WELCH ET AL.	
t	Examiner	 Art Unit	1
	Louise N. Leary	1623	

	-		1	1			
		Louise N. Leary	1623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to teply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed /s will be considered time the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ty. ommunication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)∐ —		is action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) $\underline{1-22}$ is/are pending in the application	<b>1.</b>					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers	•		•			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)🔀	The drawing(s) filed on 3/30/₀1_ is/are: a)☑ acce	pted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
` a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		•				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmer							
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Information	ry (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P				

Application/Control Number: 09/823,657

Art Unit: 1623

1. Claims 1-22 are pending in this application.

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-22 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,270,954 B1. This is a double patenting rejection.

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 11-12, 14-15 and 19-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S.

Application/Control Number: 09/823,657

Art Unit: 1623

Page 3

Patent No. 5,900,360. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

patentably distinct from each other because both inventions claim a screening method

for detecting a phenotypically defective cell comprising contacting a cell sample with a

stabilizing agent.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Louise N. Leary whose telephone number is (703)

308-3533. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 am

to 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Geist, can be reached on (703) 308-1701. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1235.

LOUISE N. LEARY

August 9, 2001